

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/632,775	08/04/2000	Stanley J. Kostoff, II	04838-060001	2125	
26161	7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			LAZARO, DAVID R		
	LIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2155		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/632,775	KOSTOFF, II ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	David Lazaro	2155					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may b. criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M latute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			,					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal m	•	ents is				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		·				
Applicati	on Papers ,	,						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abey trection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	((s)	•						
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

Application/Control Number: 09/632,775 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed 04/18/06.

2. Claims 1-6 are pending in this office action.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. The rejection of claim 4 as being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn. However, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is maintained and clarified in view of applicant's remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: Claim 1 states "receiving on the transmission medium a first frame transmission having a destination address corresponding to the station" and "transmitting on the transmission medium a second frame transmission...". According to these limitations, the first frame and second frame are only received and transmitted on the transmission medium. As such, it is not clear

Art Unit: 2155

as to how the claimed subject matter supports a method of operating a station according to a media access control protocol when a station is neither receiving nor transmitting the frame transmissions involved. Applicant did remark on page 1 of the 04/18/06 remarks, that claim 1 refers to a "method of operating a station," and thus the "receiving" and "transmitting" steps are clearly steps in operating a station. However, a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). It would seem essential that the first frame transmission should be received at the station and that the second frame transmission is transmitted from the station if the body of the claim is to correspond with the purpose outlined in the preamble.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2155

8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,510,156 by Brock et al. (Brock).

9. With respect to Claim 1, Brock teaches in a network of stations interconnected by a transmission medium, a method of operating a station according to a media access control protocol comprises:

receiving on the transmission medium a first frame transmission having a destination address corresponding to the station (Col. 10 line 47 - Col. 11 line 5 and Fig. 2b); and

transmitting on the transmission medium a second frame transmission including information from the first frame transmission other than the destination address, the information from the first frame transmission occupying fewer bits than the destination address but being sufficiently unique to the first frame transmission as to convey that the second frame transmission is a response to the first frame transmission (Col. 10 line 47 - Col. 11 line 5 and Fig. 2b).

10. With respect to Claim 2, Brock further teaches wherein the first frame transmission includes a frame check sequence and the information in the second frame transmission includes a received frame check sequence field for specifying at least a portion of the frame check sequence in the first frame transmission and is to be used to determine that the second frame transmission is a response to the first frame transmission (Col. 10 line 47 - Col. 11 line 5 and Fig. 2b).

- 11. With respect to Claim 3, Brock further teaches all the limitations of Claim 1 and further teaches the first frame transmission includes an indication that a response is expected (Col. 10 line 47 Col. 11 line 5 and Fig. 2b).
- 12. With respect to Claim 4, Gleeson teaches in a network of stations interconnected by a transmission medium, a media access control unit in a station comprising:

a receive handler to receive on the transmission medium a first frame transmission having a destination address corresponding to the station (Col. 10 line 47 - Col. 11 line 5 and Fig. 2b); and

transmit handler to transmit on the transmission medium a second frame transmission including information from the first frame transmission other than the destination address, the information from the first frame transmission occupying fewer bits than the destination address but being sufficiently unique to the first frame transmission as to convey that the second frame transmission is a response to the first frame transmission (Col. 10 line 47 - Col. 11 line 5 and Fig. 2b).

13. With respect to Claim 5, Gleeson teaches all the limitations of Claim 4 and further teaches wherein the first frame transmission includes a frame check sequence and the information in the second frame transmission includes a received frame check sequence field for specifying at least a portion of the frame check sequence in the first frame transmission and is to be used to determine that the second frame transmission is a response to the first frame transmission (Col. 10 line 47 - Col. 11 line 5, and Fig. 2b - HEC field).

Application/Control Number: 09/632,775 Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

14. With respect to Claim 6, Gleeson teaches all the limitations of Claim 5 and further teaches the first frame transmission includes an indication that a response is expected (Col. 10 line 47 - Col. 11 line 5 and Fig. 2b).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lazaro whose telephone number is 571-272-3986. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Lazaro July 19, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER